

**Practice/Application Manuscript Review Rubric**  
 2022 Association of Leadership Educators Annual Conference

Review Criteria	Rating				
	1 Strongly Disagree	2 Disagree	3 Neither Agree nor Disagree	4 Agree	5 Strongly Agree
<b>Intellectual Merit of the Proposal</b>					
This proposal advances knowledge and understanding within the field of leadership education					
This proposal is compelling and would have positive implications for conference attendees					
<b>Proposal Content</b>					
Session learning/participant objectives are clear and reasonable					
The introduction of the project/application implementation is clearly presented and appropriate for the audience					
The background/literature review is relevant, accurate, and thorough to provide an appropriate background about the practice/application					
The description of the practice is presented clearly and is rigorous and appropriate to address the practice/application's purpose					
The discussion of the outcomes/results are presented logically, thoroughly, and accurately and provide sufficient evidence of expected outcomes and results. This may include critical reflections about the author's role in the practice/application					
Conclusions and recommendations are appropriate (considering the study's findings), thorough, aligned with the practice/application's purpose					
<b>Clarity</b>					
The proposal is clearly written and organized (English grammar rules and mechanics are followed, spelling is accurate, etc.)					
The proposal utilizes <a href="#">language that is free of bias</a>					
APA (7 <sup>th</sup> edition) is utilized (references are properly cited, table and figures are formatted correctly (if appropriate), etc.)					
<b>Final Proposal Rating</b>	<b>1 Definitely Do Not Accept</b>	<b>2 Probably Do Not Accept</b>	<b>3 Either Accept or Do Not Accept</b>	<b>4 Probably Accept</b>	<b>5 Definitely Accept</b>