

Practice/Application Manuscript Review Rubric

Association of Leadership Educators Annual Conference

Review Criteria	Rating				
	1 Strongly Disagree	2 Disagree	3 Neither Agree nor Disagree	4 Agree	5 Strongly Agree
Intellectual Merit of the Proposal					
This proposal advances knowledge and understanding within the field of leadership education					
This proposal is compelling and would have positive implications for conference attendees					
Proposal Content					
Session learning/participant objectives are clear and reasonable					
The introduction of the project/application implementation is clearly presented and appropriate for the audience					
The background/literature review is relevant, accurate, and thorough to provide an appropriate background about the practice/application					
The description of the practice is presented clearly and is rigorous and appropriate to address the practice/application's purpose					
The discussion of the outcomes/results are presented logically, thoroughly, and accurately and provide sufficient evidence of expected outcomes and results. This may include critical reflections about the author's role in the practice/application					
Conclusions and recommendations are appropriate (considering the study's findings), thorough, aligned with the practice/application's purpose					
Clarity					
The proposal is clearly written and organized (English grammar rules and mechanics are followed, spelling is accurate, etc.)					
The proposal utilizes language that is free of bias					
APA (7 th edition) is utilized (references are properly cited, table and figures are formatted correctly (if appropriate), etc.)					
Final Proposal Rating	1 Definitely Do Not Accept	2 Probably Do Not Accept	3 Either Accept or Do Not Accept	4 Probably Accept	5 Definitely Accept