

**Roundtable Sessions Scoring Rubric:**

**2020 ALE Annual Conference – Roundtable Review Rubric**

Indicate your evaluation by marking an X in the appropriate box (SA-strongly agree, A-agree, D-disagree, SD-strongly disagree). Please include comments providing objective remarks and/or suggestions for improvement.

Submission Criteria	SA 4	A 3	D 2	SD 1	Comments
1. The roundtable proposal adds to the body of knowledge in leadership education and will provoke thought among ALE attendees.					
2. <i>Introduction:</i> The learner/participant objectives are clear and relevant to the conference theme.					
3. <i>Background:</i> The author links the roundtable proposal to existing literature/discussion in the field of leadership education.					
4. <i>Means for Discussion OR Primary Objective(s) of Presentation:</i> The means for discussion/interaction with the participants is sufficiently developed.					
5. <i>Foreseeable Implications:</i> The foreseeable implications for discussions are developed and connected to the conference theme.					
7. The proposal is clearly written, English grammar rules and mechanics are followed and spelling is accurate.					
8. APA style is utilized; references are properly cited, and appropriate tables/figures (if applicable) are located within the manuscript.					

Overall Recommendation	Reviewer Rating	Comments
Accept for Presentation		
Accept with Minor Revisions		
Not Acceptable for Presentation		